

## Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It  
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.  
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

### Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

### Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:** OK-507 - Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:** KI BOIS Community Action Foundation, Inc.

**1A-3. CoC Designation:** CA

**1A-4. HMIS Lead:** CDSA, The Nonprofit Center

## 1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>1B-1.</b>	<b>Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.</b>	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
	1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	No	No	No
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	No	No
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	No	No
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	No	No
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	No	No	No
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	No	No	No
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Law Enforcement	No	No	No
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Nonexistent	No	No
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	No	No	No
13.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	No	No	No
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	No	No
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	No	No	No
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	No	No	No
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	No
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Nonexistent	No	No
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Nonexistent	No	No
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Nonexistent	No	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Tribal HUD-VASH	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	SSVF	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

Both Black/African Americans and American Indians are overrepresented in the homeless population. The CoC has been working with two Black/African American owned entities to expand housing opportunities for People of Color. Both entities, one in Pittsburg County and one in LeFlore County, have submitted CoC supported HOME-ARP applications to the Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency. The CEO of the entity in Pittsburg County is serving on the CES TA Core Team and the CES TA Engagement & Recruitment Work Group to advocate for Black/African Americans. The CoC is partnering with the Chickasaw Nation to expand housing opportunities through submission of a CoC Builds application.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
----	--

2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) Membership in the CoC is open to all stakeholders in its covered geographic area. Semi-annually, the CoC issues a formal public invitation for membership to any and all interested persons/organizations within the geographic area. New members may join at any time during the year by providing the CoC with their names, contact information, and any relevant affiliations. Agency recruitment is an on-going process. The invitation is sent directly to target organizations, emailed to CoC membership, local coalition and interagency memberships, shared at meetings, and posted on organizational websites. Once per year, a notice is placed in the newspaper.
- 2) The invitation is sent directly to target organizations who serve individuals with disabilities. Sub-regional leads will also directly contact target organizations to invite them to join the CoC. CoC documents are posted on the website as PDFs and are available to the public at any time.
- 3) Outreach to organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness is generally done through sub-regional leads and local service providers. If the agency is interested or would like more information, the Lead Agency will contact these organizations directly after contact by the local agency to further discuss the CoC and explain membership.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) The CoC invites all persons in the geographic area to meetings in an effort to gain input from a diverse cross section of the CoC. Due to the geographic size of the CoC, efforts are made to glean information from sources that would not readily attend CoC meetings, such as work groups, input sessions, roundtables, and coalitions. Membership in coalitions is large and diverse. The CoC is a standing agenda item for many of the coalitions. Others include the CoC only when there is information to report or gather. One PATH Pgm is active in the CoC and has more knowledge of unsheltered homelessness in certain counties. Two SSVF Pgms serve the CoC. One SSVF Program serves all 21 counties of the CoC so they have a unique perspective as their case mgrs are in every county. The CoC has standing reports on their meeting agendas for the PATH Pgm and SSVF Pgms to share current information.
- 2) The CoC communicates information during CoC meetings, input sessions, roundtables, workgroups, and to local coalitions and interagency councils at their regularly scheduled meetings, most notably, following the PiT Count, HIC update, LSA, system performance measures and submission of the consolidated application. These groups are also made aware of the website postings and where to find them.
- 3) The CoC has multiple means for communication with and access for persons with disabilities. These include in person formats such as the in person CoC meeting, coalition and interagency meetings, and one on one opportunities. Other formats include virtual meetings, telephone, e-mail, website, and social media.
- 4) Information gathered at CoC meetings, coalition meetings, input sessions, roundtables, or work groups is used to improve the PiT methodology, data collection, access to the most hard to reach individuals, reduce barriers to service, and inform committee planning/activity such as Coordinated Entry, Consolidated Application and Racial Equity. Currently, input is being solicited to help inform the Coordinated Entry work groups formed through CES technical assistance.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1) The CoC explicitly states in the Request for Applications (RFA) they will accept applications from those not previously funded. The CoC RFA notice is sent via e-mail to CoC members, DV/Youth/Homeless Shelters, SSVF Pgms, along with local county coalitions, interagency councils, the OKC HUD Field Office and the Oklahoma Department of Commerce. Sharing with local coalitions and councils increases exposure to those who may not attend CoC meetings and/or have never applied for funding. The RFA notice is posted on the CoC section of the KI BOIS CAF website as soon it is released via e-mail.
- 2) The CoC RFA details that all proposals shall be submitted via e-snaps. Links are provided in the RFA to the NOFO and the CoC Interim Rule. There are also details directing the reader to specific sections within the NOFO for additional information. The CoC also shared e-snaps 101 guidance.
- 3) The CoC reviews the Rating and Ranking Tool and Policies in a CoC meeting, then facilitates discussion and members vote to approve or disapprove the tool and policies. The CoC uses the HUD scoring tool. The approved tool and policies are posted on the KI BOIS CAF website. Separate e-mails regarding thresholds were sent to agencies submitting letters of intent to ensure they were aware of all thresholds prior to starting their applications. Thresholds requirements include CES participation, Housing First/Low Barrier, documented match, reasonable costs, active CoC participant, acceptable audit/financial review and, for renewals, data quality at or above 90%.  
Renewal projects and new projects are rated and ranked using the HUD Rating and Ranking Tool. Rating criteria include equity factors. Local criteria gives bonus points for serving chronically homeless, youth and/or domestic violence households. Projects that have not been in operation for at least one year will be ranked after the renewal projects and ahead of new project applications. New projects will be ranked after renewal projects.
- 4) The CoC RFA is sent directly to target organizations including those who serve individuals with disabilities. Sub-regional leads will also directly contact target organizations to notify them that the RFA has been released. The CoC RFA and corresponding documents are posted on the website as PDFs and are available to the public at any time.

# 1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC’s coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC’s geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Nonexistent
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Nonexistent
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Nonexistent
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	No
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Nonexistent
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Nonexistent
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		



<b>1C-2.</b>	<b>CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

<b>1C-3.</b>	<b>Ensuring Families are not Separated.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	No
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	No

<b>1C-4.</b>	<b>CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

<b>1C-4a.</b>	<b>Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1Due to the size of the CoC, most formal partnerships are on a regional or local level. There are 152 distinct and different school districts in the CoC. Therefore, the CoC defers to sub-regional leads to form partnerships and collaborations with LEAs, school districts and local liaisons. The CoC Lead Agency collaborates with the SEA through the Interagency Council on Homelessness Oklahoma (ICHO). The CoC Lead Agency staff serves on the inaugural ICHO Advisory Council. ICHO was launched in May 2024. ICHO plans to reinstate the homeless children and youth workshops for school liaisons and CoC providers. The workshops were a joint effort between the former Governor’s Interagency Council on Homelessness (GICH), SEA, Oklahoma Commission on Children & Youth (OCCY), Oklahoma Department of Commerce, and CoCs. Currently, the CoC, itself, has no formal MOU with school districts. Sub-regional leads have partnership agreements with their school districts. The partnerships are a little different in each sub-regional area and school district. Sub-regional leads will provide training/education and communicate regularly with the homeless liaisons. Member agencies and their programs have MOUs with school districts.

1C-4b.	<b>Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.</b> NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The CoC’s Operating Policies state the following: The SEO CoC strongly values education, believing that increased educational attainment lowers risk of future homelessness. ESG and CoC programs shall inform parents and unaccompanied youth of their educational rights, take the educational needs of children into account when families are placed in housing and will, to the maximum extent practicable, place families with children as close as possible to their school of origin so as not to disrupt such children's education. Providers and homeless school liaisons coordinate schooling for each school-age child, reducing school migration and connecting students to services.

1C-4c.	<b>Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.</b> NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	
--------	--	--

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	No
4.	Early Head Start	No	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	No	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking–Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers. NOFO Section V.B.1.e.
-------	---

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	No
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	No
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.		

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. NOFO Section V.B.1.e.
--------	---

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

- update CoC-wide policies; and
- ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Oklahoma no longer has a state domestic violence/sexual assault coalition. The CoC has one Domestic Violence Program (DVP) that regularly attends meetings and has been asked to serve on the board. The DVP provides feedback from their own program and will provide information from the newly forming state-wide DV association regarding appropriate trauma informed and victim centered policies. Last year, the CoC convened a DV workgroup for people with lived experience. The workgroup provided valuable insight that was used to inform changes to CoC operating and coordinated entry policies. Out of that work group, a plan to improve/enhance DV services was developed and disseminated to the CoC membership. The CoC is currently receiving Coordinated Entry TA and is updating its policies and tools to ensure they are trauma informed and meet the needs of DV survivors.

2) The CoC worked with Collaborative Solutions to incorporate trauma informed care principles in trainings held 05/17/23 and 06/06/23. These trainings were recorded and are posted on the training section of the CoC webpage. The CoC shares training opportunities with agencies regarding webinars hosted by HUD, the Safe Housing Alliance, and other state and/or national DV organizations on victim safety and trauma informed, victim centered best practices. The CoC and DVP discuss the unique needs of survivors at regularly scheduled meetings to ensure housing and service providers understand and can meet those needs.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:	
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The SEO CoC CES Committee recognizes the importance of addressing the safety needs of those individuals and families who are fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, human trafficking or stalking, but who are seeking shelter or services from non-victim specific providers. Coordinated Entry System (CES) policies state when an individual or family is actively fleeing and presents at a non-victim service provider, the provider should make every effort to connect the individual with a victim services provider. The services provided may be shelter, but may also be advocacy, safety planning and peer counseling. If, when an assessment is being conducted, a household is determined to be at imminent risk of harm due to domestic violence, the CES assessment staff should immediately assist the household to connect with DV Services by calling the local DV provider or local law enforcement and tending to their immediate transportation and security needs. Individuals and families may not be denied access to the coordinated entry process on the basis that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.

2) The CES Committee also recognizes the absolute necessity for confidentiality when serving survivors. CES policies state individuals who are fleeing, or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, but who are seeking shelter or services from non-victim service providers, must have safe and confidential access to the CES as well as victim services.

They must also have immediate access to emergency shelters, services such as domestic violence hotlines and DV shelters. Regarding HMIS, policies state no victim service provider shall enter any information for any client fleeing domestic violence into HMIS so long as that client is considered to be in danger from an abuser. If non-victim service providers encounter a person fleeing domestic violence, they will attempt to refer the client to a victim service provider. If the client does not wish to be referred, the provider shall follow CoC protocols for serving victims fleeing domestic violence.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	No	No
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes
6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
	Other? (limit 500 characters)		
7.			

&nbsp;

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) In 2023he CoC adopted a new more comprehensive VAWA/Emergency Transfer Plan policy in response to VAWA 2022. The policy details eligibility for transfers and how to request transfers, as well as confidentiality, safety, and bifurcation.
- 2) The CoC's Protections for Victims Policy has two parts, VAWA Protections and Emergency Transfer Plans. The VAWA Protections policy states programs are responsible for ensuring the Notice of Occupancy Rights and the Certification of DV/DV/SA/Stalking and Alternate Documentation is provided to each applicant for CoC/ESG assistance and each program participant receiving CoC/ESG rental assistance at prescribed times. The Notice of Occupancy addresses the ability to request an emergency transfer and identifies the criteria for receiving a transfer. The Emergency Transfer Plans (ETP) policy requires CoC/ESG programs to implement an ETP. The policy details eligibility, ETP request documentation, confidentiality, timing and availability, safety, and bifurcation. The policy is shared with applicants and participants upon request.
- 3) For individuals and families already in housing, the tenant will notify the Program's Case Manager and submit a written request for a transfer to another location. The Program will provide reasonable accommodation for individuals with disabilities. The CoC provides an Emergency Transfer Plan request form to persons wishing to make a written request. HHs who are fleeing DV, or attempting to flee, but are seeking shelter/services from nonvictim specific providers are presented with the option of working with a victim service provider to continue their assessment or continuing with the initial provider after they are thoroughly informed of the Emergency Transfer Plan and impacts of either decision.
- 4) For HHs already in housing, the program staff will determine if the HH meets the requirements for an emergency transfer. If so, staff will discuss participant choice for housing and proceed with identifying alternative safe housing. For HHs fleeing, staff will identify the victim service provider(s) capable and willing to provide the standardized assessment for persons to access the CES in their geographic area. HHs are given the choice to continue with the current provider or transfer to a victim service provider.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The CoC ensures that survivors have access to all available housing and services by offering them the choice of fully participating in the mainstream Coordinated Entry System (CES) or transferring to a victim service provider. CES Policies state that in instances where two households have equal priority and one is a survivor household, the survivor household shall receive priority. All participants, survivor or other are provided with participant choice in available housing.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:

1.	identifying barriers specific to survivors; and	
2.	working to remove those barriers.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The CoC ensures that survivors have access to all available housing and services by offering them the choice of fully participating in the mainstream Coordinated Entry System (CES) or transferring to a victim service provider. CES Policies state that in instances where two households have equal priority and one is a survivor household, the survivor household shall receive priority. All participants, survivor or other are provided with participant choice in available housing.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	No
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	No
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	No

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC formed an Advancing Equity Committee in June 2023. This committee met with LGBTQIA+ and other providers to gather input on unintentional or other barriers created by current policies and to update the CoC-wide specific anti-discrimination policy to ensure services can meet the needs of LGBTQIA+ families and individuals and remain trauma informed.

2) The CoC anti-discrimination policy has been approved and shared with providers so they may address provisions for ensuring their policies are consistent with the CoC policy. The CoC working with the Oklahoma Department of Commerce to provide additional training for providers on incorporating anti-discrimination concepts throughout their policies. This three part training is scheduled for 01/09/25, 02/18/25, and 03/13/25. Equity office hours are scheduled for 1/16/25, 02/20/25, and 03/20/25.

3 &4) The CoC is in the process of developing steps for evaluating compliance and strategies for addressing non-compliance. The CoC expects to finalize the processes after the aforementioned training.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.
	You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Ada Public Housing Authority		No	No
Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency	34%	Yes-HCV	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.
	Describe in the field below:
1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference--if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or



	2. state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.
--	--

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has worked with the Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency (OHFA) on multiple projects and currently has three MOUs with OHFA. OHFA has a homeless admission preference for the Housing Choice Voucher Program in their written policies. The CoC did not have to encourage OHFA to adopt a preference as they already had one. The CoC Lead Agency has worked with the Muskogee PHA, specifically to rehouse disabled victims of a fire in a subsidized apartment complex.

The Ada PHA does not have a homeless preference. They have a disability and DV preference and feel that captures most people experiencing homelessness. However, the CoC previously invited the Ada PHA to participate in an input session for leveraging housing and they did. Through the input session, they stated they are open to discussion and possibly establishing a homeless preference in the future. They would like to see if having a homeless preference for the SNOFO Stability Vouchers demonstrates additional households would be served over and above their disability and DV preference. If so, they may consider adding the preference for their own vouchers.

2) N/A

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes

4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	No
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness. NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
--------	--	--

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	Not Applicable

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV). NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
--------	--	--

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

## 1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	2
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	2
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.  
Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) The CoC Lead Agency annually reviews project policies regarding Housing First, engagement/referral and move in dates, and interviews case managers. The Lead Agency also has a minimum of quarterly contact with the project and agencies referring to the project. These responsibilities are shifting to the Performance Measures Committee in 2025.
- 2) The CoC uses the HUD CoC Housing First Assessment Tool. Other factors and performance indicators used in evaluation include engagement, referral and move in dates, APR data such as income and benefits at start, and prior living situation.
- 3) Evaluation using the Housing First Assessment Tool and stated factors and performance indicators is completed annually after the program’s APR is submitted. Results of the Assessment are reviewed and shared with the project. Discussion includes strategies to improve and move beyond compliance and/or correct deficiencies.
- 4) Heretofore, conversations regarding fidelity have been informal. In 2025, they will become formalized quarterly meetings. The CoC is also working to improve fidelity by sharing Housing First information and training opportunities with CoC funded projects and CoC membership. The CoC has a Housing First section on the training page of the CoC website which can be accessed by anyone.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.
---

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC conducts street outreach through multiple programs, including SSVF, PATH, ESG, Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinics (CCBHC), shelters, and some Community Actions. SSVF covers all 21 counties of the CoC and conducts outreach in all counties monthly. The PATH program regularly visits known locations, including parks, bridges, lakes, campgrounds, feeding programs, etc a couple times per month. They carry outreach bags in vehicles so they are always ready to provide outreach services regardless of whether it is their task that day. SSVF case managers visit the locations listed above in the counties not covered by PATH. ESG, CCBHCs, shelters, and Community Actions provide outreach in their areas and collaborate with SSVF and PATH to stagger outreach efforts.

CoC agencies work to ensure they are connecting with people where they are regardless of locations and in settings that work best for them. They also regularly ask the people they are connecting with if they know of other people that need help but won't come in to service location. The PATH agency has bilingual staff available when needed. PATH will provide transportation to phone, computer or internet services and help set up access. SSVF, ESG, and CCBHCs will contact partners with interpreters if needed. Outreach staff carries notepads to help communicate with persons who are hearing challenged if they can read and write. Most sub-regional leads have access to interpreters who speak Spanish or use sign language. These interpreters may be scheduled to meet with individuals needing their services. Effective communication for languages other than Spanish or sign language can be quite difficult in the rural areas where there is no college or high school that teaches other languages. This year, the Oklahoma Dept of Commerce worked with ESG grantees to standardize ESG forms and have them translated into Spanish, Chinese, and Vietnamese. The ESG grantees now have access to interpreters for all three languages.

<b>1D-4.</b>	<b>Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	No	No
2.	Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	No	No
3.	Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	No
4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.I.	

	HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	166	178

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 1. | works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and |
| 2. | promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.   |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC held a leveraging healthcare input session on September 20, 2022 which resulted in new relationships and partnerships being formed with FQHC care managers. These partnerships have provided additional resources for people experiencing homelessness. One partner FQHC operates residential and non-residential substance abuse programs. Most FQHC's offer mental health treatment.

2) The CoC shares information on SOAR training (adult and child) with agencies and program staff regularly. On October 1st, it will become a standing item on the CoC meeting agendas. One ESG case manager completed her SOAR training in 2023. She covers 6 counties which is one complete subregional area. Another new case manager in a CoC funded program finished her training in 2024. The CoC is currently working with the new DV Bonus grant recipient to enroll their staff in SOAR.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:	
	1. respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
	2. prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1 & 2) The CoC has not developed specific infectious disease policies but shares CDC information, training announcements, local information, and other pertinent information as it becomes available. Health departments have provided training on preventing and mitigating disease outbreak. Through collaboration with the Ok Dept of Commerce (ESG Grantee) and CoCs, the State Dept of Health has provided multiple trainings on infectious diseases including COVID-19 in congregate settings, Monkeypox, and Syphilis among others for CoC agencies. These trainings were recorded and can be shared with new staff and staff unable to attend the training. The recordings are posted on the Training section of the CoC website.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1. effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and	
	2. facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1 & 2) The CoC has shared all HUD, USICH, and NAEH webinars, trainings, and office hours opportunities regarding infectious diseases with agencies. The CoC has also shared numerous other training opportunities regarding limiting infection and outbreaks with agencies, along with CDC information for homeless providers. Through collaboration with the Ok Dept of Commerce (ESG Grantee) and CoCs, the State Dept of Health has continued to provide multiple trainings on infectious diseases including COVID-19 and Monkeypox for CoC agencies. These trainings were recorded and can be shared with new staff and staff unable to attend the training. The recordings are posted on the Training section of the CoC website.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;	
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and	
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CES covers approximately 85% of the CoC geographic area. Coverage is achieved by multiple programs and agencies serving as access points in a "no wrong door" approach. The CoC is currently receiving CES TA. As part of the TA, an Engagement & Recruitment work group has been formed and is currently working to identify and recruit access points in areas not adequately covered by the CES. These include mainstream providers and street outreach providers.

2) The CoC adopted a standardized CES assessment process and tool to assess persons experiencing homelessness in 2014. This tool has been updated numerous times and is currently being updated again to improve its efficacy for BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, and youth. The CoC has is receiving CES TA specifically for updating their CES policies and the assessment tool to help the CoC improve the efficacy of and further bolster the inclusiveness of the Coordinated Entry System.

3&4) As part of the CES TA, the CoC convened a Lived Experience work group to specifically give feedback on the CES tool and its effect on persons trying to access services. The work group identified questions that were acceptable in their current format then gave suggestions for different questions or different wording of existing questions. They also provided feedback on where they would feel comfortable being assessed and by whom. This feedback has been relayed to the CES Core Team working with the TA providers and will be used by the Assessment & Prioritization Work Group when updating the assessment tool. It will also be used by the Implementation & Training Work Group when training providers to use trauma informed techniques when implementing the new policies and using the new tool. The CoC receives feedback from SSVF and ESG programs a minimum of a couple times per year. This feedback is currently being used by the Work Groups to update the CES policies and assessment.



1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry--Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC is examining its CES through the technical assistance process to identify potential changes to enhance its participant centered approach.

1) Due to the diversity and size of the CoC, access to the Coordinated Entry System follows a “No Wrong Door” approach. The CoC is divided into subregional areas. Each sub-regional lead and their offices function as access points. There are additional service providers in each sub-regional area functioning as access points as well. With this system, every county in the CoC has at least one access point, most have more. Outreach workers will do CES assessments on site with people who are unhoused and facilitate CES access. The Coordinated Entry Committee continues work on marketing strategies to ensure resources and info about the access points are provided to various community locations and places known to be frequented by those least likely to apply.

2) The assessment tool uses a point scale to prioritize those most in need based on their circumstances and connect them to services. The higher the score, the higher the priority. The prioritization scale is currently under revision through the TA process.

3) Using the prioritization scale identifies those most in need of urgent assistance. Participant preference is at the forefront when services are offered. Case managers work with the participant to determine their preference. This year, program assistance has been timely but length of time to move continues to be skewed due to the shortage of affordable rental housing stock.

4) The CoC originally declined to use the VI-SPDAT due to its cumbersome structure. The adopted assessment is much shorter and has been updated several times over the years to improve usability for all. Through the CES TA process, the CoC is working to further ensure the CES process is simple and straightforward for persons who are homeless, as well as staff, by incorporating feedback and using an equity lens when revising processes. The CoC utilizes a “no wrong door” approach to help reduce access issues.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry--Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies--Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Specific marketing that affirms fair housing includes regular updates at coalition and interagency council meetings, direct outreach by street outreach teams, outreach at service-based locations frequented by people experiencing homelessness, and education of mainstream providers, among others. Street outreach teams target people experiencing homelessness with disabilities, mental or behavioral health disorders, and/or other barriers that make them less likely to seek services. Information on the Fair Housing Act is included in the CES Client Rights. The Client Rights also informs participants who feel they have been discriminated against and wish to file a complaint that they may do so through Legal Aid Services of Oklahoma. The assisting agency will provide Legal Services contact information to the participant and provide support as needed and/or requested. The Oklahoma Office of the Attorney General is the state agency empowered to enforce the Oklahoma Fair Housing Law. Agency staff will provide the housing discrimination complaint form or website address to participants who wish to file a complaint through the Attorney General. If a provider observes or is made aware of an impediment to fair housing, they may contact the Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency, the HUD Field Office, and/or the Oklahoma Department of Commerce to discuss the offense and determine what further action should be taken.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	09/25/2023

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC's Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and
2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC utilizes Stella P data, HMIS data, PiT data, HUD CoC Racial Analysis Tool, and comparable database data in comparison to general population data retrieved from the Community Action Assessment Tool to analyze services by project type and by all project types together, race and ethnicity. Analyzing by project type reveals who and which household type is using specific services at a higher rate than the average across all project types, who has higher rates of return, etc... This allows for targeted responses based on project type and disparity.

2) The CoC calculated racial percentages on PiT data, which was then compared to general population data to determine instances of homelessness disparity based on race. Program data was compared to PiT data and general population data to determine if disparity trends are consistent across programs or is divergent. If a program's data is divergent, a deeper dive is conducted to determine why, is there an identifiable root cause, and how it can be addressed.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	No
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes
6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	No
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	No
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The CoC is currently evaluating the entirety of its Coordinated Entry System with an equity lens as it works through the TA process. Once the TA process is complete, the Advancing Equity Committee will be tasked with setting a schedule for ongoing evaluation of all CoC processes, policies, and procedures.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

- |    |  |
|----|--|
| 1. | the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and |
| 2. | the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.        |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1 & 2) The CoC monitors progress throughout the year using HMIS data. Once available, APRs, PiT, Stella, and the HUD CoC Racial Analysis Tool data is analyzed and compared to prior years. The information is shared with the Advancing Equity Committee to strategize solutions for eliminating identified disparities and developing strategies to prevent future disparities. The CoC Advancing Equity Committee is still formalizing its process to track progress within the CoC and programs and will rely on analysis of all data and outcomes to track project and CoC-wide progress on eliminating disparities. The Advancing Equity Committee will also provide feedback from communities regarding their perception of progress.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The CoC reaches out to shelters and programs to recruit persons with lived experience. The CoC recently convened a Coordinated Entry lived experience workgroup and was able to recruit a person with lived experience to serve on the Executive Committee. The CoC is creating a Lived Experience page on its website. This page will provide resources and information about ways to be involved in the CoC, as well as contact information for the CoC. The CES TA Engagement & Recruitment Work Group is also developing strategies to engage persons with lived experience in CoC activities.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.  
 Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	2	0
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	7	1
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	1	0
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	1	0

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

Some member agencies have created their own job readiness programs which equip persons with lived experience with new or expanded skill sets. They also provide interview mentoring and teach work ethics. Upon completion, they are paired with a partner employer. Some agencies partner with Oklahoma Works for training and job placement. Most agencies have partnerships with their local employers to assist with employment. The CoC Lead Agency applied for and, in 2024, was awarded a Work Ready Oklahoma (WRO) program. WRO is a program funded through the Oklahoma Human Services Department and offers targeted support to improve employment opportunities and secure stable jobs. WRO focuses on empowering participants towards self-sufficiency through targeted job preparedness and skill-building workshops. WRO provides integrated services to help participants rapidly gain or regain employment, mitigate their risk of future job loss, and advance along career pathways. Services include training, mentoring, and job matching with local, in-state and out-of-state employers. They provide office space for remote jobs, if needed. Employers that provide living wage jobs with benefits are continuously recruited. There are currently 9 WRO programs in the CoC, 5 were newly funded in 2024. Three of the WRO programs are operated by CoC Sub-Regional Lead Agencies.

1D-10c.	<b>Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.</b> NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
Describe in the field below:		
1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;	
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;	
3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;	
4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and	
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) The CoC routinely gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness through outreach workers, program staff, surveys and lived experience workgroups. The CoC routinely convenes lived experience work groups to give feedback specific to a strategy or policy that is undergoing review or update. i.e. The CES TA Core Work Group is currently gathering Coordinated Entry feedback through a lived experience work group.
- 2) Feedback is gathered by programs each time a participant is exited from the program. The CoC requests a synopsis of feedback from the programs annually. The CoC convenes a lived experience work group a minimum of once per year in addition to groups convened by providers.
- 3) All ESG recipients are required to have a person experiencing homelessness or a person who formerly experienced homelessness on their board or have a process by which they can gather feedback. Many shelters provide opportunities for feedback in shelter, conduct exit interviews, and also through an anonymous survey with a self-addressed stamped envelope. RRH providers conduct exit interviews with participants.
- 4) Feedback is gathered by programs each time a participant is discharged from a program. The CoC requests a synopsis of feedback from the programs annually or more often if issues are identified.
- 5) The CoC routinely discusses challenges identified by people with lived experience and service providers in meetings, especially if they are of a systemic nature. This allows input and brainstorming from all present. If necessary, the identified challenges or barriers will go to the Executive Committee to discuss potential policy change to address the issues. If the issues are specific to an agency, they will be addressed privately. If not resolved, the Executive Committee will work with the agency to develop a plan for improvement.

1D-11.	<b>Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.</b> NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	
Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:		
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	

2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.
---

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1&2) The CoC Lead Agency and one Sub-Regional Lead Agency have worked with the Chickasaw Nation to identify property and address the zoning with the City of Ada in order to apply for a CoC Builds project. In all honesty and due to current circumstances, there has been more effort put in to educating local governments that are considering passing ordinances to criminalize homelessness. Increasing the housing supply has to be part of those discussions but it is difficult to get movement in that area when a local government is singularly focused.

## 1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC’s Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/12/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/12/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC’s eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes



6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---	-----

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.  NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	---	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.  
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	235
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	2
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-RRH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.  NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) & 2) The CoC used HMIS data, comparable database data, and APRs to evaluate whether renewal applicants are successfully housing participants in permanent housing and how long it took to house them. Consideration is given to renewals that are working with hard to house populations. The current housing/rental market was also taken into consideration when reviewing time between intake and move-in.

3) & 4) The CoC looked at severity of needs and vulnerability when establishing Other and Local Criteria for scoring. Additional points were given for projects that serve chronically homeless, youth, or domestic violence victims.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.  NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	
-------	---	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;
----	---

2.	how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and
3.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) Last year, the CoC solicited input from all member agencies which included American Indians and Black/African Americans, both of which are overrepresented in the local homeless populations. Based on the input received at the time, the CoC added three equity factors to the scoring tool. No new equity factors were added this year based on feedback.
- 2) One person with lived experience was involved in the review, selection, and ranking process but was not representative of those over-represented in the homeless population. American Indians were included in the review, selection, and ranking process.
- 3) The CoC’s scoring tool includes an “Equity Factors” section worth 60 points that assess the agency’s equity characteristics and participant outcomes. However, the rating factors do not evaluate the project narratives to determine the project’s ability to identify and address barriers specific to different races and ethnicities.

1E-4.	Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC’s reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC’s local competition this year;
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) Each year, the CoC reviews needs and service gaps to determine the types of programs reallocated funds may be used to create. The CoC may reallocate funds as needed to more effectively resolve homelessness, help households achieve stable housing and improve CoC performance. CoC program funds may be reallocated either by a voluntary process or by a competitive system transformation process. If a project is deemed to be low performing, the CoC shall initiate a process by which the low performing project works with the CoC Executive Committee to develop a project improvement plan. If, in the timeframe outlined in the project improvement plan, the project has not made significant changes to improve its performance or meet set targets, the CoC reserves the right to reallocate the project. In the case of involuntary reallocation, the funds that were allocated to the project shall be released as new funds within the CoC, and agencies shall have the opportunity to apply for them through a competitive process to create Permanent Supportive Housing for the chronically homeless, PSH dedicated plus, Transitional Housing-Rapid Re-Housing, and/or Rapid Re-housing projects.
- 2) No projects were identified.
- 3) No projects were reallocated.
- 4) No project was under threshold or considered low performing or less needed.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/15/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status—Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95. You must upload the Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	10/28/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC’s website or partner’s website.	10/28/2024
--	---	------------

## 2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>2A-1.</b>	<b>HMIS Vendor.</b>	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Well Sky ServicePoint
--	--	-----------------------

<b>2A-2.</b>	<b>HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.</b>	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.	Multiple CoCs
--	--	---------------

<b>2A-3.</b>	<b>HIC Data Submission in HDX.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	05/14/2024
--	---	------------

<b>2A-4.</b>	<b>Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
	1. describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
	2. state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) All DV service providers in the CoC have access to the free version of Empower. Most choose not to use a comparable database and will not unless they receive funding. Most have declined to apply for funding due to the regulations.
- 2) All CoC and ESG funded DV housing and service providers are using Empower DB which is a HUD compliant comparable database and is compliant with the FY 24 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	442	48	111	22.65%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	178	0	178	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	76	0	0	0.00%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) In the CoC, emergency shelter and permanent housing beds are not covered at 85% or above. The CoC Lead Agency, HMIS Lead Agency and the Ok Dept of Commerce (ODOC) continue to work jointly to encourage participation in HMIS through information sharing and scheduled trainings. HMIS is a burden for small rural shelters with minimal staff, especially faith-based shelters with volunteer staff. Many of their governing boards do not see the return on investment for participating in HMIS and are not interested. In the next year, the sub-regional leads will contact emergency shelters in their area that do not serve DV victims to further discuss the benefits of entering in HMIS. There are no CoC funded emergency shelter or transitional housing beds in the CoC. The CoC funded PH-RRH beds are 100% HMIS covered. HUD-VASH beds are not in HMIS. In the past, our VA has said they will not enter in HMIS. The Lead Agency has met with the VA regarding this issue. They are not prepared to enter in HMIS at this time. Only about 12-15% of youth shelter beds are for homeless youth. The rest are for State custody youth. Youth shelters are unwilling to commit to the expense of HMIS on an annual basis for two beds that may or may not have someone in it that is classified as homeless. All that said, the CoC Lead Agency used some of their ESG-CV funds to pay for one faith-based shelter to start using HMIS and one faith based shelter to continue using HMIS. In the last year, one sub-regional lead recruited a faith based shelter to enter in HMIS. They are currently receiving training from the HMIS Lead Agency.

2) The CoC Lead Agency, HMIS Lead Agency and ODOC will continue to work jointly to encourage participation in HMIS through information sharing and scheduled trainings. In the next year, the sub-regional leads will contact emergency shelters in their area that do not serve DV victims to further discuss the benefits of entering in HMIS. The Lead Agency and ODOC will continue to initiate HMIS discussions on a state level at the statewide Continuum of Care meetings.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

## 2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/25/2024
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/14/2024
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC’s Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC’s most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)



- 1) The CoC included the local youth emergency shelter in planning meeting notifications but they were unable to participate. However, feedback was gathered at a later date for input into the planning process.
- 2) The local youth emergency shelter and other youth service providers provided input the day of the full Count regarding likely and know locations for youth
- 3) There were no youth experiencing homelessness that served as counters during the 2024 Count.

2B-4.	PIT Count—Methodology Change—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The CoC voted to use the Counting Us electronic application for the 2024 Count. This was the first year to use the app. The CoC conducted two training sessions and provided links to the Counting Us website and training/planning materials.

- 1) The only change in the sheltered count was the option to submit count information electronically instead of on paper. In spite of the availability of the app, many shelters chose to submit their information on paper.
- 2) The unsheltered count was conducted using the Counting Us app. Counting Us is much more comprehensive than the electronic survey the CoC used last year for the unsheltered count. Counters were able to easily enter information in the app. If they were in an area with no cell service, the app stored the information, and the counter would update when they got back to their office.
- 3) The Count was not affected by a natural disaster or people who recently arrived in the CoC. It was affected by a city on a beautification campaign that included razing numerous houses and an apartment complex resulting in a significant number of homeless and first time homeless households in one sub-regional area.
- 4) The change to the Counting Us app was well received by people counting. The mapping feature highlighted some unique geography issues, such as the front of a convenience store is in one county and in the CoC geography but the back of the store is in another county and CoC.

## 2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>2C-1.</b>	<b>Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) The CoC reviewed the Criteria for Defining At-Risk of Homelessness and key factors contributing to housing instability as reported by households facing first time homelessness. Key factors for households are frequent moves, couch hopping, overcrowding, single mothers with children, and the continuing near non-existent and vastly overpriced rental market with landlords unwilling to accept vouchers. Landlords converting properties to small vacation housing or outright selling property with little notification to the tenant is contributing issue. For one specific sub-regional area, a city embarked on a beautification campaign and eliminated what they considered “eye-sore” properties. This included razing numerous houses and an apartment complex resulting in a significant number of first time homeless households.
- 2) Households facing first time homelessness are engaged in housing problem solving discussions including available resources and would this household be homeless but for external financial assistance. If all attempts at homelessness prevention fail, the household will be engaged in a diversion conversation including available programs, eligibility and potential housing options. Agencies working with households facing first time homelessness have assisted the eligible households to apply for a Housing Choice Voucher regardless of their participation in prevention or diversion.
- 3) The Lead Agency (KI BOIS CAF), Executive Committee, and ESG grantees have oversight of the CoC’s strategy.

<b>2C-1a.</b>	<b>Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

	1. natural disasters?	No
	2. having recently arrived in your CoC's geographic area?	No

<b>2C-2.</b>	<b>Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

In the field below:		
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
	2. describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

- 1) The CoC's priority is to first reduce the length of time persons are unsheltered, then reduce the time spent sheltered and finally be able to divert all who are imminently homeless. This is achieved through effective use of the Coordinated Entry System in coordination with CoC, ESG, SSVF, other funding, and vouchers to provide housing first and needed services to stabilize the household.
- 2) The CES assessment, local agency data, and byname lists are used to help identify those with the longest lengths of time homeless. Some persons can be identified through HMIS, but it is not a CoC wide effective means due to the low number of providers entering. Once assessed, participant choice and housing options are discussed with the household. Once the household makes a housing choice, a referral is made to the program identified as having the most appropriate services based on the choice made if it is not the CES access point.
- 3) The Coordinated Entry Committee, Executive Committee, and Ada Homeless Services are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategies to reduce the length of time homeless.

<b>2C-3.</b>	<b>Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC's Strategy.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

In the field below:		
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
	2. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	

3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.
----	---

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1 & 2) The CoC 's strategy includes providing technical assistance (TA) to new case managers emphasizing increased comprehensive case management and "thinking outside the box" approaches for those with the greatest barriers to obtaining and maintaining permanent housing. The CoC collaborated with the Ok Dept of Commerce (ESG grantee) to provide landlord engagement training to agencies. The CoC provided additional trainings in fall 2022 and spring/summer 2023 that covered landlord engagement, tenant readiness and a two-part housing stability plans training. The trainings were recorded and can be accessed on the CoC's webpage at any time. This allows agencies and staff to use the CoC provided training for new staff and reviews for seasoned staff. Collaborative Solutions provided all trainings. Programs sign agreements with landlords detailing each party's roles and responsibilities in partnership for successful housing outcomes. When landlords become active partners, housing retention increases. Once participants are securely housed, case managers assess participants to ascertain their tenant readiness levels and provide training in areas of need. Case managers assist participants with completion of their housing stability plans ensuring short & long term goals & objectives are included that will address existing barriers that challenge retaining housing along with desired skills necessary to maintain housing.

3) The Lead Agency (KI BOIS CAF), Executive Committee, and ESG grantees have oversight of the CoC's strategy.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1)The CoC’s strategy includes analyzing data, including system performance measures and HMIS data, to determine the rate at which individuals and families return to homelessness. Coordinated Entry Access Points and other agencies using HMIS are able to identify those returning to homelessness during CE assessment, intake, or when they access services. Due to the number of agencies in the CoC not using HMIS, staff in those agencies must ask individuals and families if they are returning to homelessness as part of the CES assessment.

2)The CoC’s strategy to reduce returns to homelessness is much the same as its strategy to increase placements and retention and includes providing technical assistance (TA) to new case managers emphasizing increased comprehensive case management and “thinking outside the box” approaches for those with the greatest barriers to obtaining and maintaining permanent housing. The CoC collaborated with the Ok Dept of Commerce (ESG grantee) to provide landlord engagement training to agencies. The CoC provided additional trainings in fall 2022 and spring/summer 2023 that covered landlord engagement, tenant readiness and a two-part housing stability plans training. The trainings were recorded and can be accessed on the CoC’s webpage at any time. This allows agencies and staff to use the CoC provided training for new staff and reviews for seasoned staff. Collaborative Solutions provided all trainings. Programs sign agreements with landlords detailing each party’s roles and responsibilities in partnership for successful housing outcomes. When landlords become active partners, housing retention increases. Once clients are securely housed, case managers assess participants to ascertain their tenant readiness levels and provide training in areas of need. Case managers assist participants with completion of their housing stability plans ensuring short & long term goals & objectives are included that will address existing barriers that challenge retaining housing along with desired skills necessary to maintain housing.

3) The Lead Agency (KI BOIS CAF), Executive Committee, and ESG grantees have oversight of the CoC’s strategy.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income–CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase income from employment.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC’s strategy is 3-fold. 1st, work with the participant to develop svc plan goals that include obtaining job skills and/or employment. 2nd, work with mainstream employers to increase access to employment & a living wage. Local agencies partner with Southern Workforce/Okla Works to help participants with education & training, career exploration, & job search. They sponsor the Oklahoma CLIFF Dashboard which gives info about income & public assistance along a career path. Southern Workforce has 13 offices in the CoC area & sponsors job fairs to bring job searchers together with employers. The Sub-Regional leads partner with 4 tribal nations to sponsor job fairs. Local agencies work with the tribal employment ofcs to access benefits for participants. 3rd, partner with Work Ready Oklahoma (WRO) sites. WRO provides integrated services to help participants rapidly gain or regain employment, mitigate their risk of future job loss, and advance along career pathways. Svcs include training, mentoring, and job matching with local, in-state and out-of-state employers. They provide office space for remote jobs, if needed. WRO continuously recruits employers that provide living wage jobs with benefits.

2) The CoC’s strategy to increase participant cash income revolves around developing partnerships with mainstream employers, Southern Workforce/Oklahoma Works, one stop centers, tribal nations, WRO Hope Centers & other providers. In the rural area, most employers are small businesses. Therefore, working with mainstream employers includes educating employers & business owners about the benefits of investing in the community through hiring persons who are or have been homeless along with those who have a criminal record. In rural areas, the WRO programs are invaluable as they have established relationships with vetted local and remote employers. Agencies will refer to one stop centers where they are available. In other areas, agencies develop relationships with mainstream employers to build trust in referrals. Agencies work with tribal employment ofcs to stay informed of jobs, training & skill building programs for tribal members. One sub-regional lead has AmeriCorps & YouthBuild Programs, which provide resources & supports such as: education & training (including on-the-job training), apprenticeships & employment opportunities for program participants.

3) The Executive Committee, ESG Grantees, and KI BOIS CAF (Lead Agency) oversee this strategy.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC’s Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC’s strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has a multi-faceted strategy to increase non-employment cash income. One facet involves working with participants to help them develop service plan goals that include enrolling in benefits for which they are eligible and helping them to utilize services that will allow them to reallocate their limited cash resources for other expenses. These include food pantries, Rx for Oklahoma prescription assistance, Housing Vouchers, and Volunteer Income Tax Assistance to name a few. Ensuring households are aware of their eligibility for Earned Income Tax Credit and Child Tax Credit and helping them access these benefits is included in the strategies. Agencies will help participants determine benefit eligibility and apply for SSI, VA benefits, and other sources of nonemployment cash income. Another facet is to increase access to non-employment cash and revolves around developing partnerships with the Oklahoma Human Services Department, local attorneys, VA, SSVF, Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency, and food banks among others to facilitate information sharing and referrals. The CoC is encouraging agencies to have a minimum of one SOAR trained person on staff to assist with applications. Agencies also provide transportation to non-employment cash benefit providers to improve access for those without transportation. Another facet includes staff education so they remain aware of current eligibility requirements and new programs.

2) The Executive Committee, ESG Grantees, and SSVF (KI BOIS CAF), oversee these strategies.

### 3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>3A-1.</b>	<b>New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

<b>3A-2.</b>	<b>New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.</b>	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

<b>3A-3.</b>	<b>Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.</b>	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			



### 3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

Not Applicable.

### 3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not Applicable.

## 4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
<b>Applicant Name</b>		
This list contains no items		

## 4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
  - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
  - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/26/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes		
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	10/26/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/27/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/26/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/26/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/26/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/26/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	HUD HDX Competiti...	10/27/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** PHA Homeless Preference

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Housing First Evaluation

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Local Competition Scoring Tool

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Scored Forms for One Project

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Notification of Projects Accepted

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Local Competition Selection Results

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** HUD HDX Competition Report

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**



## Submission Summary

**Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.**

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	10/16/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/26/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/26/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/26/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	10/26/2024
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/27/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/26/2024
2C. System Performance	10/26/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/26/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/26/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/26/2024

<b>4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants</b>	10/16/2024
<b>4B. Attachments Screen</b>	Please Complete
<b>Submission Summary</b>	No Input Required

## Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency

**Homeless Preference:** A homeless family is defined as:

Lacking a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence; AND has a primary nighttime residence that is a supervised public or private shelter providing temporary accommodations or a public or private place not ordinarily used as a sleeping accommodation for human beings; OR

An individual or family who has been displaced due to a major disaster declared by the President of the United States AND receives temporary federal housing assistance within the state of Oklahoma AND has a valid personal federal disaster identification number issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

An individual or family residing with friends or relatives on a temporary basis is not eligible for the homeless preference unless the family has been displaced due to a major disaster declared by the President of the United States AND has established residency within the state of Oklahoma (employment, school enrollment, etc.) AND has a valid personal federal disaster identification number issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Youth aging out of foster care referred to OHFA by the Oklahoma Department of Human Services (OKDHS).

Victims of human trafficking may be included in the homeless definition that includes both sex and labor trafficking, as outlined in the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (TVPA), as amended (22 U.S.C. § 7102). These are defined as:

*Sex trafficking* means the recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, obtaining, patronizing, or soliciting of a person for the purpose of a commercial sex act, in which the commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform such act has not attained 18 years of age; (and)

*Labor trafficking* means the recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a person for labor or services, through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of subjection to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery.

### **EHV-III.C. PREFERENCES**

#### **HCV Waiting List Preferences**

If local preferences are established by OHFA for HCV, they do not apply to EHV. However, if OHFA has a homeless preference or a VAWA preference for the HCV waiting list, OHFA must adopt additional policies related to EHV in accordance with Notice PIH 2021-15.

OHFA does offer a homeless and a VAWA preference for the HCV waiting list and will apply these preferences to the EHV waiting list.

### **EHV Waiting List Preferences**

With the exception of a residency preference, OHFA may choose, in coordination with the CoC and other referral partners, to establish separate local preferences for EHV. OHFA may, however, choose to not establish any local preferences for the EHV waiting list.  
No local preferences have been established for the EHV waiting list.



**Provider Information**

Please complete the information below on the organization being assessed.

Provider Information	
<b>Provider's Legal Name</b>	<b>KI BOIS Community Action Foundation, Inc.</b>
Acronym (If Applicable)	
Year Incorporated	1968
EIN	73-0770231
Street Address	200 SE A Street Stigler, Oklahoma
Zip Code	74462

Project Information	
<b>Project Name</b>	<b>KI BOIS PH-RRH Program</b>
Project Budget	\$129,423
Grant Number	OK0040L6I072112
Name of Project Director	Noni Sloan
Project Director Email Address	noni.sloan@kibois.org
Project Director Phone Number	918-967-2512
<b>Which best describes the project *</b>	<b>Rapid Rehousing</b>
<i>If project is a Safe Haven, please choose project type that it most operates like, e.g. shelter, transitional housing, or permanent housing</i>	
<b>Are your services targeted to any of the following populations specifically? Please select one if so, as this impacts your assessment questions.</b>	<b>Domestic Violence Survivors</b>

\*Please note that when you select a project type, particular standards may not be relevant.

Management Information	
Name of CEO	R. Carroll Huggins
CEO Email Address	carroll.huggins@kibois.org
CEO Phone Number	918-967-3325
Name of Staff Member Guiding Assessment	Noni Sloan
Staff Email Address	noni.sloan@kibois.org
Staff Phone Number	918-967-2512

Assessment Information	
Name of Assessor	Brandie Risenhoover
Organizational Affiliation of Assessor	SEO CoC
Assessor Email Address	seokcoc@gmail.com
Assessor Phone Number	918-967-3325
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	<b>Oct 25 2024</b>



## Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

No.	Standard	Access Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document it	Do it
Access 1	Projects are low-barrier	Admission to projects is not contingent on pre-requisites such as abstinence of substances, minimum income requirements, health or mental health history, medication adherence, age, criminal justice history, financial history, completion of treatment, participation in services, "housing readiness," history or occurrence of victimization, survivor of sexual assault or an affiliated person of such a survivor or other unnecessary conditions unless required by law or funding source.	Always	Always	Always
Access 2	Projects do not deny assistance for unnecessary reasons	Procedures and oversight demonstrate that staff do everything possible to avoid denying assistance or rejecting an individual or family for the reasons listed in Access Standard #1.	Always	Always	Always
Access 3	Access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status	Equal access is provided in accordance with the 2012 and 2016 Equal Access Rules, meaning that any project funded by HUD must ensure equal access for persons regardless of one's sexual orientation or marital status, and in accordance with one's gender identity. Adult only households, regardless of marital status, should have equal access to projects (if these project types are not available within a CoC, the CoC should conduct an assessment to determine if these project types are needed and work with providers to accommodate the need). Please see Equal Access Rules here: <a href="https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/">https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/</a>	Always	Always	Always
Access 4	Admission process is expedited with speed and efficiency	Projects have expedited admission processes, to the greatest extent possible, including helping participants obtain documentation required by funding sources, as well as processes to admit participants regardless of the status of their eligibility documentation whenever applicable.	Always	Always	Always

Access 5	Intake processes are person-centered and flexible	Intake and assessment procedures are focused on the individual's or family's strengths, needs, and preferences. Projects do not require specific appointment times, but have flexible intake schedules that ensure access to all households. Assessments are focused on identifying household strengths, resources, as well as identifying barriers to housing that can inform the basis of a housing plan as soon as a person is enrolled in the project.	Always	Always	Always
Access 6	The provider/project accepts and makes referrals directly through Coordinated Entry	Projects actively participate in the CoC-designated Coordinated Entry processes as part of streamlined community-wide system access and triage. If these processes are not yet implemented, projects follow communities' existing referral processes. Referrals from Coordinated Entry are rarely rejected, and only if there is a history of violence, the participant does not want to be in the project, there are legally valid grounds (such as restrictions regarding sex offenders) or some other exceptional circumstance that is well documented.  <i>The program was originally designed to specifically target DV survivors coming out of shelter.</i>	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat
Access 7	Exits to homelessness are avoided	Projects that can no longer serve particular households utilize the coordinated entry process, or the communities' existing referral processes if coordinated entry processes are not yet implemented, to ensure that those individuals and families have access to other housing and services as desired, and do not become disconnected from services and housing. Households encounter these exits under certain circumstances, such as if they demonstrate violent or harassing behaviors, which are described within agencies' regulation-adherent policies.	Always	Always	Always
Name		Participant Input Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document it	Do it
Participant Input 1	Participant education is ongoing	Project participants receive ongoing education on Housing First principles as well as other service models employed in the project. In the beginning of and throughout tenancy, participants are informed about their full rights and responsibilities as lease holders, including the potential causes for eviction.	Always	Somewhat	Always
Participant Input 2	Projects create regular, formal opportunities for participants to offer input	Input is welcomed regarding the project's policies, processes, procedures, and practices. Opportunities include involvement in: quality assurance and evaluation processes, a participant leadership/advisory board, processes to formally communicate with landlords, the design of and participation in surveys and focus groups, planning social gatherings, integrating peer specialists and peer-facilitated support groups to compliment professional services.	Always	Always	Always



## Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select “Not at all” or “Sometimes” or “Always”. Marking “Always” signifies full compliance for the standard.

	Standard	Lease and Occupancy Definition / Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Leases 1	Housing is considered permanent (not applicable for Transitional Housing)	Housing is not time-limited (though rent assistance may be) and leases are automatically renewable upon expiration, except with prior notice by either party.	Always	Always	Always
Leases 2	Participant choice is fundamental	<p>A participant has, at minimum, choices in deciding the location and type of housing based on preferences from a range of housing types and among multiple units, as available and as practical. In project-based settings, participants should be offered choice of units within a particular building, or within the portfolio of single site properties. In projects that use shared housing, i.e. housing with unrelated roommates, participants should be offered choice of roommates, as available and as practical. Additionally, as applicable, participants are able to choose their roommates when sharing a room or unit.</p> <p><i>no roommates, must be in 4 county service area</i></p>	Always	Always	Always
Leases 3	Leases are the same for participants as for other tenants	Leases do not have any provisions that would not be found in leases held by any other tenant in the property or building and is renewable per the participants’ and owner’s choice. People experiencing homelessness who receive help moving into permanent housing should have leases that confer the full rights, responsibilities, and legal protections under Federal, state, and local housing laws. For transitional housing, there may be limitations on length of stay, but a lease/occupancy agreement should look like a lease that a person would have in the normal rental market.	Always	Always	Always
Leases 4	Participants receive education about their lease or occupancy agreement terms	Participants are also given access to legal assistance and encouraged to exercise their full legal rights and responsibilities. Landlords and providers abide by their legally-defined roles and responsibilities.	Always	Always	Always



Leases 5	Measures are used to prevent eviction	Property or building management, with services support, incorporates a culture of eviction avoidance, reinforced through practices and policies that prevent lease violations and evictions among participants, and evict participants only when they are a threat to self or others. Clear eviction appeal processes and due process is provided for all participants. Lease bifurcation is allowed so that a tenant or lawful occupant who is a victim of a criminal act of physical violence committed against them by another tenant or lawful occupant is not evicted, removed or penalized if the other is evicted.	Always	Always	Always
Leases 6	Providing stable housing is a priority	Providers engage in a continued effort to hold housing for participants, even if they leave their housing for short periods due to treatment, illness, or any other temporary stay outside of the unit.	Always	Always	Always
Leases 7	Rent payment policies respond to tenants' needs (as applicable)	While tenants are accountable to the rental agreement, adjustments may be needed on a case by case basis. As necessary, participants are given special payment arrangements for rent arrears and/or assistance with financial management, including representative payee arrangements.  <i>Do not provide representative payees</i>	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat



## Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select “Not at all” or “Sometimes” or “Always”. Marking “Always” signifies full compliance for the standard.

	Standard	Services Definition / Evidence	Say it	Document it	Do it
Services 1	Projects promote participant choice in services	Participants are able to choose from an array of services. Services offered are housing focused and include the following areas of support: employment and income, childhood and education, community connection, and stabilization to maintain housing. These should be provided by linking to community-based services.	Always	Always	Always
Services 2	Person Centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process	Person-centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process	Always	Always	Always
Services 3	Service support is as permanent as the housing	Service connections are permanently available and accessible for participants in Permanent Supportive Housing. Rapid Re-Housing projects should, at a minimum, be prepared to offer services for up to 6 months after the rental assistance ends. In emergency shelter and transitional housing, services are available as long as the participant resides in the unit or bed – and up to 6 months following exit from transitional housing.	Always	Always	Always
Services 4	Services are continued despite change in housing status or placement	Wherever possible, participants continue to be offered services even if they lose their housing unit or bed (for congregate projects), or if they are placed in a short-term inpatient treatment. Ideally, the service relationship should continue, despite a service hiatus during some institutional stays.	Always	Always	Always

Services 5	Participant engagement is a core component of service delivery	Staff provide effective services by developing relationships with participants that provide immediate needs and safety, develop trust and common ground, making warm hand-offs to other mainstream service providers, and clearly explain staff roles. Engagement is regular and relationships are developed over time.  <i>case notes</i>	Always	Always	Always
Services 6	Services are culturally appropriate with translation services available, as needed	Project staff are sensitive to and support the cultural aspects of diverse households. Wherever possible, staff demographics reflect the participant population they serve in order to provide appropriate, culturally-specific services. Translation services are provided when needed to ensure full comprehension of the project. Projects that serve families with children should have family-friendly rules that allow for different schedules based on work and school hours and have services that allow parents to participate in activities without having to constantly supervise their children themselves (i.e. can use the bathroom or take a shower without their children being in the bathroom with them).	Always	Always	Always
Services 7	Staff are trained in clinical and non-clinical strategies (including harm reduction, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approaches, strength-based)	Services support a participant's ability to obtain and retain housing regardless of changes in behavior. Services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy, such as recognizing that substance use and addiction are a part of some participants' lives. Participants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding their behavior and are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.	Always	Always	Always
<b>Standard</b>					
		<b>Housing Definition / Evidence</b>	<b>Say It</b>	<b>Document It</b>	<b>Do It</b>
Housing 1	Housing is not dependent on participation in services	Participation in permanent and temporary housing settings, as well as crisis settings such as emergency shelter, is not contingent on participating in supportive services or demonstration of progress made on a service plan. Services must be offered by staff, but are voluntary for participants.	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat
Housing 2	Substance use is not a reason for termination	Participants are only terminated from the project for violations in the lease or occupancy agreements, as applicable. Occupancy agreements or an addendum to the lease do not include conditions around substance use or participation in services. If the project is a recovery housing model focused on people who are in early recovery from drugs or alcohol (as outlined in HUD's Recovery Housing Brief), different standards related to use and subsequent offer of treatment may apply. See HUD's Recovery Housing brief here: <a href="https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/4852/recovery-housing-policy-brief/">https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/4852/recovery-housing-policy-brief/</a>	Somewhat	Somewhat	Somewhat

Housing 3	The rules and regulations of the project are centered on participants' rights	Project staff have realistic expectations and policies. Rules and regulations are designed to support safe and stable communities and should never interfere with a life in the community. Participants have access to the project at all hours (except for nightly in and out shelter) and accommodation is made for pets.	Always	Always	Always
Housing 4	Participants have the option to transfer to another project	Transfers should be accommodated for tenants who reasonably believe that they are threatened with imminent harm from further violence if the tenant remains in the same unit. Whenever possible, transfers occur before a participant experiences homelessness.	Always	Always	Always



## Housing First Standards

For each standard, please use the drop down boxes in the three columns to the right to select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

	Standard	Project -Specific Standards	Say It	Document it	Do it
Project 1	Quick access to RRH assistance	A Rapid Re-housing project ensures quick linkage to rapid re-housing assistance, based on participant choice.	Always	Always	Always
Project 2	RRH services support people in maintaining their housing	Participants and staff understand that a primary goal of rapid re-housing is to end homelessness and move participants to permanent housing as quickly as possible, regardless of perceived barriers.	Always	Always	Always
Project 3	Providers continuously assess a participant's need for assistance	On an ongoing basis, providers assess a participant's needs for continued assistance and provide tailored assistance based on those assessments.	Always	Always	Always
		No additional standards			
		<i>Optional notes here</i>			

No additional standards

*Optional notes here*

No additional standards

*Optional notes here*

No additional standards

*Optional notes here*

No additional standards

*Optional notes here*

**Standard**

**Population Specific Standards**

**Say It**

**Document It**





**Do It**

Population 1	Participant safety is a priority at all points of engagement and in all planning processes	Led by the survivor, a safety plan is developed that includes an assessment of danger, particular points of vulnerability, and best approaches to increasing safety.  <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Population 2	Survivor-driven advocacy is available	The unique needs and strengths of each individual survivor and their children are taken into account with regard to the types of services that are available and offered. Project uses flexible and survivor-focused approaches to overcome barriers survivors may face in accessing services through traditional models.  <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Somewhat	Always
Population 3	Housing stability is a priority	Providers support survivors and their children to retain or obtain safe, stable housing. Survivors choose the type of housing and location of housing. Housing is located in an area that is considered safe from the abusive relationship.  <i>Optional notes here</i>	Always	Always	Always
Population 4	Population	No additional standards  <i>Optional notes here</i>			

Non-Compliant Standards ("Not at all" to Whether Standard is Said)  
Category No. Name Standard

Non-Documented Standards ("Not at All" to Whether Standard is Documented)  
Category No. Name Standard

Non-Evidenced Standards ("Not at All" to Whether Standard is Done")  
Category No. Name Standard








# Housing First Standards: Assessment Summary

KI BOIS Community Action Foundation, Inc.  
25-Oct-24


Some standards have not been evaluated. Please return and complete all standards before finalizing report.

**Your score: 184**  
Max potential score: 204


Score is calculated by awarding 1 point for standards answered 'sometimes' and 2 points for standards answered 'always'. Categories that are not applicable for your project are not included in the maximum potential score.




Percentage of Standards "Said"



Percentage of Standards "Documented"



Percentage of Standards "Done"



**NAVIGATION**

- Customize Threshold Requirements
- Filter Rating Factors
- Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- Customize New Project Rating Tool

**CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS**

**CoC Threshold Requirements** *(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)*

- Coordinated Entry Participation
- Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
- Documented, secured minimum match
- Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally
- Project is financially feasible
- Applicant is active CoC participant
- Application is complete and data are consistent
- Data quality at or above 90%
- Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%
- Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

*(The first five requirements are recommended included in the rating process either as Threshold Requirements or as Rating Factors)*

**FILTER RATING FACTORS**

Select project type

**All**

*Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize*

Select special population

**All**

**CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL**

*Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.*

**Performance Measures**

**Length of Stay**

	<b>Factor/Goal</b>	<b>Max Point Value</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days	20 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		

**Exits to Permanent Housing**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		

**Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)**

<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	<u>20</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		

**New or Increased Income and Earned Income**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>8</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>8</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>8</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>8</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u>15</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u>15</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u>15</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u>15</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u>25</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u>25</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u>25</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u>25</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		

**Serve High Needs Populations**

**Coordinated Assessment score**

<input type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Assessment score for XX% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention		
<input type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Assessment score for XX% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with XX% at highest end of PSH range		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with XX% at highest end of PSH range		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - XX% of participant meet CoC's TH targeting criteria		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - XX% of participant meet CoC's TH targeting criteria		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Assessment score for XX% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Assessment score for XX% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention		

**Project focuses on chronically homeless people**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	<u>20</u> %	<u>20</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	<u>20</u> %	<u>20</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	<u>35</u> %	<u>20</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	<u>35</u> %	<u>20</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

- TH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless
- TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless
- TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless

**APR data on ≥ 50% disability/zero income/unsheltered**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	25 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	25 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	50 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	50 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	25 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	25 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	25 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	25 %	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		

**Project Effectiveness**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	80 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	80 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	80 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	80 %	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures		

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

- TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures
- TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures

**Equity Factors**

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes	10 points

**Program Participant Outcomes**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	10 points

**Other and Local Criteria**

*(select from drop-down menu)*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text" value="CoC Monitoring Score"/> Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Project serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.		10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Project serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.		10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Project serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Project serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.	Yes	10 points

<b>Total Maximum Score</b>	RRH-General projects:	235	points
	RRH-DV projects:	235	points
	PSH-General projects:	240	points
	PSH-DV projects:	225	points
	TH-General projects:	70	points
	TH-DV projects:	70	points
	TH+RRH-General projects:	70	points
	TH+RRH-DV projects:	70	points

**CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL**

**Experience**

**Factor/Goal**

**Max Point Value**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	15	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	15	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	5	points
<input type="checkbox"/>	DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance		

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. 5 points

**Design of Housing & Supportive Services**

General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 15 points

DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 15 points

General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. 5 points

DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. 5 points

General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 5 points

DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 5 points

General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. 10 points

DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. 10 points

General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. 10 points

DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. 10 points

**Timeliness**

General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points

DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points

**Financial**

General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 5 points

DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 5 points

B. Organization's most recent audit:

General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices 5 points

DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices 5 points

General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points

DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points

General-3. Indicates no findings 5 points

DV-3. Indicates no findings 5 points

General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points

DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points

General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. 20 points

DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. 20 points

**Project Effectiveness**

General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals 80 % 5 points

DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals 80 % 5 points

**Equity Factors**

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

New project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Yes 10 points

New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g)) 10 points

New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one Yes 10 points

New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes Yes 10 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes

**Program Participant Outcomes**

- New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review 10 points
- New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review 10 points
- New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review 10 points

**Other and Local Criteria**

- Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards.
- Project serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households. 10 points
- Project serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households. 10 points
- Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards

---

---

**Total Maximum Score**

General projects: 110 points

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name:  KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC) (1)

Organization Name: KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC)

Project Type:

Project Identifier:

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Threshold Review Complete



Saved!

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

*For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.*

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

Yes to all

### HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.	Yes
2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.	Yes
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).	Yes
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.	Yes
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.	Yes
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.	Yes
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.	Yes
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.	Yes
a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or  b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD	Yes
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.	Yes
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:	Yes
a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;  b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and  c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements	Yes



## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: ✓ KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC) (1)  
 Organization Name: KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC)  
 Project Type: RRH  
 Project Identifier: 1

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

100%

Saved!

### THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

- 11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings. Yes
  
- 12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful. Yes
  
- 13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.) Yes
  
- 14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities. Yes
  
- 15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute. Yes
  
- 16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding. Yes

### CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

*For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".*

Coordinated Entry Participation	Yes
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Yes
Documented, secured minimum match	Yes
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	Yes
Project is financially feasible	Yes
Applicant is active CoC participant	Yes
Application is complete and data are consistent	Yes

### RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: ✓ KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC) (1)  
Organization Name: KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC)  
Project Type: RRH  
Project Identifier: 1

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Threshold Review Complete



Saved!

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
Data quality at or above 90%	Yes
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Yes

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name:  KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC) (1) Print Blank Template Print Report Card  
 Organization Name:  KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC)  
 Project Type:  RRH (General)  
 Project Identifier:  1 Met all threshold requirements Renewal/Expansion Projects Rating Complete Saved!

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 45 days after referral to RRH	<input type="checkbox"/> 12.2 THU, 200 days	<input type="checkbox"/> 12	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	80% move to PH	<input type="checkbox"/> 83.3 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="checkbox"/> 40 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0.0	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="checkbox"/> 9.09 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0.0	out of 2.5
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			<b>41</b>	out of <b>55</b>
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 20% of participants are chronically homeless	<input type="checkbox"/> 64.7 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 20	out of 20
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 25% of participants with zero income at entry	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.5 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.20	out of 10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	<input type="checkbox"/> 26 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.20	out of 10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 25% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	out of 10
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			<b>29.4</b>	out of <b>50</b>
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> 15	out of 20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 80% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="checkbox"/> 80 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 8	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	out of 10
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			<b>33</b>	out of <b>40</b>
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	Native Americans are well represented, Black is underrepresented	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	DEIA Committee convening to review policies	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	Staff learning to pull and disaggregate data	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	Plan is proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	Staff learning how to review data to glean appropriate equity i	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			<b>35</b>	out of <b>60</b>
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	out of 10
RRH (General) - Project serves chronically homeless,	0	Serves 100% DV Victims coming out of shelter	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	out of 10
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			<b>20</b>	out of <b>20</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			<b>158.4</b>	out of <b>225</b>
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			<b>70</b>	out of <b>100</b>

### PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	<b>\$ 129,423</b>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		<b>\$ 129,423</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	<b>\$ 123,567</b>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	<b>\$ 113,403</b>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<b>92%</b>

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_ *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

### THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

*For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.*

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

Yes to all

### HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.	
2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.	
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).	
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.	
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.	
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.	
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.	
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or</li> <li>b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD</li> </ul>	
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.	
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;</li> <li>b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and</li> <li>c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements</li> </ul>	

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

### THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.

12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.

13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)

14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.

15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.

16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.

### CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

*For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".*

Coordinated Entry Participation

Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation

Documented, secured minimum match

Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally

Project is financially feasible

Applicant is active CoC participant

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

### THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

Application is complete and data are consistent

Data quality at or above 90%

Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

## NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

New Projects  
Rating Complete

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
<b>EXPERIENCE</b>			
Experience Subtotal	0	out of	0
<b>DESIGN OF HOUSING &amp; SUPPORTIVE SERVICES</b>			
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of	0
<b>TIMELINESS</b>			
Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of	0
<b>FINANCIAL</b>			
B. Audit			
Financial Subtotal	0	out of	0
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>			
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of	0
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>			
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>			
Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	<input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	out of	10
Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	<input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	out of	10
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	<input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	out of	10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>			
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	<input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	out of	10
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	<input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	out of	10
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or underserved populations	<input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal	0	out of	60
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>			
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of	0
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	0	out of	60

## NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

[Print Blank Template](#)

[Print Report Card](#)

New Projects  
Rating Complete

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	----------------	-----------------

Weighted Rating Score	out of	100
-----------------------	--------	-----

### PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		<b>\$ -</b>



## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name:  KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC) (1)  
 Organization Name:  KI BOIS- PH-Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)(CoC)  
 Project Type:  RRH (General)  
 Project Identifier:  1

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Rating Complete

Saved!

Met all threshold requirements



RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 45 days after referral to RRH	<input type="checkbox"/> 12.2 into, 200 days	<input type="checkbox"/> 12	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	80% move to PH	<input type="checkbox"/> 83.3 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="checkbox"/> 40 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0.0	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="checkbox"/> 9.09 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 0.0	out of 2.5
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			<input type="checkbox"/> 41	out of <input type="checkbox"/> 55
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 20% of participants are chronically homeless	<input type="checkbox"/> 64.7 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 20	out of 20
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 25% of participants with zero income at entry	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.5 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.20	out of 10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type	<input type="checkbox"/> 26 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.20	out of 10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 25% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	out of 10
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			<input type="checkbox"/> 29.4	out of <input type="checkbox"/> 50
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> 15	out of 20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 80% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="checkbox"/> 80 %	<input type="checkbox"/> 8	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	out of 10
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			<input type="checkbox"/> 33	out of <input type="checkbox"/> 40
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	Native Americans are well represented, Black is underrepresented	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	DEIA Committee convening to review policies	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	Staff learning to pull and disaggregate data	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	Plan is proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	Staff learning how to review data to glean appropriate equity	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			<input type="checkbox"/> 35	out of <input type="checkbox"/> 60
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	out of 10
RRH (General) - Project serves chronically homeless,	0	Serves 100% DV Victims coming out of shelter	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	out of 10
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			<input type="checkbox"/> 20	out of <input type="checkbox"/> 20
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			<input type="checkbox"/> 158.4	out of <input type="checkbox"/> 225
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			<input type="checkbox"/> 70	out of <input type="checkbox"/> 100

### PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	<input type="checkbox"/> \$ 129,423
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> \$ 129,423
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	<input type="checkbox"/> \$ 123,567
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	<input type="checkbox"/> \$ 113,403
<b>Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> 92%

# **The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care**

***The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care is committed to prevent and end the tragedy of homelessness among individuals and families.***

Serving Atoka, Bryan, Carter, Choctaw, Coal, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, LeFlore, Love, Marshall, McCurtain, McIntosh, Murray, Muskogee, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pittsburg, Pontotoc, and Pushmataha Counties.

October 15, 2024

All applications submitted for the 2024 HUD CoC NOFO competition have been accepted. There have been no rejected or reduced applications



Helping People. Changing Lives.

Contact Us  
800-299-4479

Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, US Flag

## The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care 2024

The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care is committed to prevent and end the tragedy of homelessness among individuals and families.

Serving Atoka, Bryan, Carter, Choctaw, Coal, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, LeFlore, Love, Marshall, McCurtain, McIntosh, Murray, Muskogee, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pittsburg, Pontotoc, and Pushmataha Counties.

### FY'24 CoC Competition

- 2024 SEO CoC - CoC NOFO Request for Applications UPDATED 08-28-2024
- 2024 SEO CoC - CoC NOFO Request for Applications 08-12-2024
- 2024 SEO CoC - Reallocation Process 08-06-24
- 2024 SEO CoC - Rating & Ranking Policy and Template 08-06-24
- SEO CoC - CoC Appeals Process 08-06-24
- 2024 SEO CoC Projects Rejected Reduced Notification 10-15-24
- 2024 SEO CoC Projects Accepted Notification 10-15-24

### Emergency Solutions Grant



Helping People. Changing Lives.

Contact Us  
800-299-4479

Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, US Flag

## The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care 2024

The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care is committed to prevent and end the tragedy of homelessness among individuals and families.

Serving Atoka, Bryan, Carter, Choctaw, Coal, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, LeFlore, Love, Marshall, McCurtain, McIntosh, Murray, Muskogee, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pittsburg, Pontotoc, and Pushmataha Counties.

### FY'24 CoC Competition

- 2024 SEO CoC - CoC NOFO Request for Applications UPDATED 08-28-2024
- 2024 SEO CoC - CoC NOFO Request for Applications 08-12-2024
- 2024 SEO CoC - Reallocation Process 08-06-24
- 2024 SEO CoC - Rating & Ranking Policy and Template 08-06-24
- SEO CoC - CoC Appeals Process 08-06-24
- 2024 SEO CoC Projects Rejected Reduced Notification 10-15-24
- 2024 SEO CoC Projects Accepted Notification 10-15-24

### Emergency Solutions Grant

# The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care

*The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care is committed to prevent and end the tragedy of homelessness among individuals and families.*

Serving Atoka, Bryan, Carter, Choctaw, Coal, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, LeFlore, Love, Marshall, McCurtain, McIntosh, Murray, Muskogee, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pittsburg, Pontotoc, and Pushmataha Counties.

October 15, 2024

All applications submitted for the 2024 HUD CoC NOFO competition have been accepted. There have been no rejected or reduced applications. Acceptance letters were sent to each organization that submitted an application.

## FY 22 Accepted and Ranked Applications

Tier	Rank	Score	Agency	Program	Project Type	\$ Amount
1	1	70	KI BOIS Community Action Family Shelter of	KI BOIS Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-RRH	\$ 129,423.00
1	2	**	Southern Oklahoma	FSSOK DV Bonus Project	PH-RRH	\$ 78,274.00

\*\* Project received its Year 1 contract from HUD on 10/08/24. Applicant passed all thresholds but has not served any participants. Therefore, they have no data or performance measures to rate.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Linda Love, SEO CoC Chair, at 1-800-299-4479.



---

## 2024 HUD CoC NOFO - Renewal Application

---

From SoutheasternOK Continuum <seokcoc@gmail.com>

Date **Tue 10/15/2024** 5:40 PM

To Kathy Manning <kmanning@fssok.org>

1 attachments (100 KB)

**2024 SEO CoC Family Shelter Renewal Application Acceptance Letter 101524 signed.pdf;**

Good Afternoon,

Please find attached your official letter stating your Domestic Violence Bonus renewal application has been accepted by the Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care and will be ranked in their final submission for the 2024 HUD CoC NOFO.

Sincerely,  
Linda

Linda Love, CCAP  
Chair

Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care  
P. O. Box 727  
Stigler, Oklahoma 74462

918-967-3325 Voice  
918-967-8660 Fax

# The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care

*The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care is committed to prevent and end the tragedy of homelessness among individuals and families.*

Serving Atoka, Bryan, Carter, Choctaw, Coal, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, LeFlore, Love, Marshall, McCurtain, McIntosh, Murray, Muskogee, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pittsburg, Pontotoc, and Pushmataha Counties.

October 15, 2024

Mrs. Kathy Manning  
The Family Shelter of Southern Oklahoma  
402 Pawnee Street  
Ardmore, OK 73401

Dear Mrs. Manning,

This letter is to inform The Family Shelter of Southern Oklahoma their CoC Domestic Violence renewal application has been accepted for submission to HUD and will be ranked on the SEO CoC's Priority Listing. You will be notified if you need to make any changes to your application.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Linda Love, CCAP  
Chair

LLL/hlf



---

## 2024 HUD CoC NOFO - Renewal Application

---

From SoutheasternOK Continuum <seokcoc@gmail.com>

Date **Tue 10/15/2024** 5:38 PM

To Noni Sloan <Noni.Sloan@kibois.org>

Cc McKinlee Crase <McKinlee.Crase@kibois.org>

1 attachments (104 KB)

**2024 SEO CoC KI BOIS Application Acceptance Letter 101524 signed.pdf;**

Good Afternoon,

Please find attached your official letter stating your Permanent Housing- Rapid Re-Housing renewal application has been accepted by the Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care and will be ranked in their final submission for the 2024 HUD CoC NOFO.

Sincerely,  
Linda

Linda Love, CCAP  
Chair

Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care  
P. O. Box 727  
Stigler, Oklahoma 74462

918-967-3325 Voice  
918-967-8660 Fax



# The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care

*The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care is committed to prevent and end the tragedy of homelessness among individuals and families.*

Serving Atoka, Bryan, Carter, Choctaw, Coal, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, LeFlore, Love, Marshall, McCurtain, McIntosh, Murray, Muskogee, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pittsburg, Pontotoc, and Pushmataha Counties.

October 15, 2024

Ms. Noni Sloan  
Case Manager  
KI BOIS Community Action Foundation, Inc.  
P. O. Box 727  
Stigler, Oklahoma 74462

RE: KI BOIS PH-RRH Project \$129423.00

Dear Ms. Sloan,

This letter is to inform KI BOIS Community Action Foundation their CoC renewal application for Permanent Housing - Rapid Re-Housing has been accepted for submission to HUD and will be ranked on the SEO CoC's Priority Listing. The application is ranked first and scored 70 points out of a total of 100.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Linda Love, CCAP  
Chair

LLL/hlf

**OK-507 Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care  
2024 Local Competition Selection Results**

Applicant Name	Program Name	Project Type	Score	Rank	Tier	\$ Amount	Reallocated Funds	Accepted/ Rejected
KI BOIS Community Action	KI BOIS Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-RRH	68	1	1	\$ 123,567.00	\$ -	Accepted
The Family Shelter of Southern OK	FSSOK DV Bonus Project **	PH-RRH	65	2	2	\$ 78,274.00	\$ -	Accepted

\*\* Project received its Year 1 contract from HUD on 10/08/24. Applicant passed all thresholds but has not served any participants. Therefore, they have no data or performance measures to rate.